## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/590 268 SEKINE ET AL Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Fyaminer Art Unit Lawrence E. Crane 1623 Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_ All Participants: (1) Lawrence E. Crane. (3) \_\_\_\_\_ . (2) Marc S. Weiner (Stephanie Wardwell). (4) \_\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 16 December 2010 Time: \_\_\_\_ Type of Interview: Telephonic Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Tyes No. If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 112 rejection, 2nd paragraph Claims discussed: claim 15 and dependent claims Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant indicated that applicant had authorized an amendment to effectively address the rejection of record. Applicant and examiner agreed that applicant would submit a supplemental amendment. Said amendment was filed 12/23/2010. Part III X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Lawrence F. Crane/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)